Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/598,299	RIJPEKEMA, EDWIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul Masur	2464
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Paul Masur</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael A. Scaturro.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 July 2010	Time: <u>4 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 1, 11		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 11		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Paul Masur/ Examiner, Art Unit 2464	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/598,299

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to seek permission for an Examiner's Amendment. Specifically, the examiner sought to remove the newly amended matter in the claims submitted on 05/19/2010. This matter, which appeared in claims 1 and 11, would be replaced with the language from claim 2. The examiner explained this proposed change was in light of a search and would better define the applicant's invention, as well as place the case in condition for allowance. The applicant's representative agreed to this change. The examiner would like to thank the applicant's representative for his time and consideration during the prosecution of this case.